

PATENT Client Reference Number: WH-2

CERTIFICATE OF HAND DELIVERY

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being hand filed with the United States Patent and Trademark Office in Washington, DC. 20231

on March 5,200 8

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate

Dr. O. M. (Sam) Zaghmout

FORMAPOS 2RM

Attachments: 1. response to the Office action (17 pages). 2. request for extension of time (one page). 3. IDS and copy of three

references. 4. Credit Card payment form (one page).

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Maharaj Sahib

Application Serial Number: 10/524,036

Filing Date: 02-09-2005

Title: Yeast Protein Expression Secretion System

Examiner: Cherie Michelle Woodward

Art Unit: 1647

Date: March 5, 2008

Mail Stop: Response Non-Final Office action

Honorable Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.111

This is a response to the Non-final Office action mailed October 5, 2007, which set a shortened statutory period for response of three (3) months. A timely response thus would have to be filed on or before January 5, 2008. This response is being filed with a request for a two months extension of time (37 CFR 1.116(a) in which to response to the noted Office action. Thus the response is being timely filed on or before March 5, 2008. Reconsideration and withdrawal of all grounds of objection and rejection, in view the remarks herein, is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-36 are pending in the application. Claims 12-22 and 29-36 have been withdrawn from consideration as drawn to the non-elected invention. Applicants specifically reserve the right to file one or more divisional applications to the non-elected

Page 1 of 17. 10:56 PM. 3/5/2008. Response Non-Final Office action. Applicants: Maharaj Sahib Application Serial Number: 10/524,036; Filing Date: 02-09-2005; Title: Yeast Protein Expression Secretion System; Examiner: Cherie Michelle Woodward, Art Unit: 1647 **Confirmation Number:**

subject matter. In the most recent Office action the Examiner has objected to claim 1. In addition, the Examiner has rejected claims 1-11 and 23-28 under 35 USC 103(a). The applicants submits the following amendments to claims 1 and 3 to address the Examiner's objections and to further particularly point out and distinctly claim the invention.